UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,425	10/31/2003	Dianne Ellis	02-292	3280
VALERIE CALLOWAY CHIEF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL			EXAMINER	
			SINGH, ARTI R	
	IER GROUP, INC. ARRIS CORNERS PARKWAY SUITE 300 .OTTE, NC 28269		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE,			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/699,425	ELLIS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Arti Singh	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 L</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi     Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,6 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or extraction and/or extraction.	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/19/08</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/699,425 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. The Examiner has carefully considered applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks dated 12/28/07. The declaration dated 12/28/07 has also been considered, made of record and placed in the file. Applicant's amendments to the claims have been entered. The amendments remove the "single use" limitations and narrow the claim language to "consisting essentially of." The pending claims at this time in the prosecution are 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 8. All other claims are cancelled.
- 2. The IDS dated 02/19/2008 has been reviewed and is being remitted herewith.
- 3. The rejection made under 112-1<sup>st</sup> in the second paragraph and 112- 2 in paragraphs 4-7 of the last office is withdrawn as Applicant has amended the.
- 4. The rejection made under the double patenting statute is also withdrawn as claims in both the applications have been extensively amended and are no longer coextensive in scope.
- 5. The Declaration filed under 37 CFR 1.132 of Ms. Dianne Ellis is acknowledged, however Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope as Applicant's claims do not recite any chemical make up of what the ionic, cationic or any other binder for that matter may be, no molecular weight or even a degree of hydrolysis. Therefore, without knowing chemically or physically what these ingredients are the Radwanski reference still meets the limitations as currently claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/699,425 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5421898 issued to Cavanagh et al and cited in the search report supplied by applicant on IDS dated 02/19/08).
- 7. In an effort to keep the prosecution consistent, the Examiner is restating the rejection that the European search report has applied.
- 8. Cavanagh teaches substrates having controlled release of quaternary disinfectant in an aqueous solution (abstract). Cavanagh discloses using a nonwoven wipe substrate coated with a water soluble polymer and a quaternary ammonium disinfectant. The polymer binds the agent and enables the controlled release in aqueous solutions of the quaternary disinfectant (claim 3). The polymer used is non ionic (Polyvinyl alcohol, column 3 lines 13-16). In instant reference describes that the nonwoven substrate can be hydroentangled (Column 2 line 22). And that it is specifically disclosed that the wipe is efficacious on hard surface cleaning (column 2 lines 4-5). The substrates that are used can also be formed into composite or laminate form having additional nonwoven layers.

## Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 02/19/08 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/699,425 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Arti Singh-Pandey/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794